

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,749	06/25/2001		Jordan L. Holtzman	11909.1USWO	2030
23552	7590	11/12/2004		EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903				HAYES, ROBERT CLINTON	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1647	
				DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/830,749	HOLTZMAN, JORDAN L.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Robert C. Hayes, Ph.D.	1647						
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaning patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u>15 July 2004</u> .							
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is non-final.	•						
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are pending in the appl	lication.	•						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.							
Application Papers		•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docum</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docum</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu</li> </ul>	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r	plication No						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.	list of the certified copies not renestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application)						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language	e provisional application has be	en received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom reference was included in the first sentence								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice of Inf	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 09/830,749

Art Unit: 1647

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/15/04 has been entered.
- 2. The rejections of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as lacking written description and enablement are withdrawn due to the amendment or cancellation of the claims, and Applicant's arguments. In particular, it is noted that exhibit B (Hirano et al., 1995; from the 10/23/03 response) establishes that human ERp57 and GRP58 are the same protein (see also page 6,  $1^{st}$  pp of the instant specification), whose structure is well known in the art (e.g., see Fig. 2). Likewise, exhibit D (Malinchik et al., 1998; from the 10/23/03 response) establishes that the human  $\beta$ -amyloid protein's structure is also well known in the art at the time of filing Applicant's specification.

The requirement for a species election from Paper No: 7 is hereby withdrawn, as now reflected in the instant claims (i.e., as it relates to "human" proteins).

3. Applicant's arguments filed 8/28/04 and 6/18/04 have been fully considered.

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1 & 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As discussed above in pp # 2, human ERp57 and GRP58 are the same protein. However, claim 1 recites "human ERp57 or human GRp58"; thereby, being confusing.

It is suggested that amending claim 1 to "comprising the human chaperone protein ERp57/[or human] GRp58; and..." should obviate this rejection.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Robert Hayes whose telephone number is (703) 305-3132. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, and alternate Fridays, from 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached on (571) 272-0961. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert C. Hayes, Ph.D. November 9, 2004

ROBERT C. HAYES, PH.D. PATENT EXAMINER